

Appendix B Areas of Interest Table

Environmental Review Report

East Windsor Generation Facility Expansion Project

Capital Power Corporation

SLR Project No.: 241.030524.00024

July 2024



B.1 Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP) Areas of Interest (v. August 2022)

MECP Area of Interest	Consideration in the Environmental Scree
Planning and	Policy
Applicable plans and policies should be identified in the report, and the proponent should describe how the proposed project adheres to the relevant policies in these plans.	Relevant report section: Appendix A (Screening Checklis (Land Use Planning Memo)
• Projects located in MECP Central, Eastern or West Central Region may be subject to A Place to Grow: Growth Plan for the Greater Golden Horseshoe (2020).	The Planning Justification Screening Response Memo (MHBC policies and confirmed no conflicts with the proposed Project:
 Projects located in MECP Central or Eastern Region may be subject to the Oak Ridges Moraine Conservation Plan (2017) or the Lake Simcoe Protection Plan (2014). 	 Environmental Assessment Act; Planning Act;
 Projects located in MECP Central, Southwest or West Central Region may be subject to the Niagara Escarpment Plan (2017). 	Provincial Policy Statement;
 Projects located in MECP Central, Eastern, Southwest or West Central Region may be subject to the Greenbelt Plan (2017). 	City of Windsor Official Plan; andCity of Windsor Zoning By-law.
• Projects located in MECP Northern Region may be subject to the Growth Plan for Northern Ontario (2011).	The land use planning review did not identify any inconsistence including the Provincial Policy Statement. Further, the review
The PPS (2020) contains policies that protect Ontario's natural heritage and water resources. Applicable policies should be referenced in the report, and the proponent should describe how the proposed project is consistent with these policies.	the City of Windsor Official Plan. Federal planning policies are
In addition to the provincial planning and policy level, the report should also discuss the planning context at the municipal and federal levels, as appropriate.	
Source Water Pr	otection
The Clean Water Act, 2006 (CWA) aims to protect existing and future sources of drinking water. To achieve this, several types of vulnerable areas have been delineated around surface water intakes and wellheads for every municipal residential drinking water system that is located in a source protection area. These vulnerable areas are known as Wellhead Protection Areas (WHPAs) and surface water Intake Protection Zones (IPZs). Other vulnerable areas that have been delineated under the CWA include Highly Vulnerable Aquifers (HVAs), Significant Groundwater Recharge Areas (SGRAs), Event-based modelling areas (EBAs), and Issues Contributing Areas (ICAs). Source protection plans have been developed that include policies to address existing and future risks to sources of municipal drinking water within these vulnerable areas.	Relevant report sections: Section 5.1.2 (Existing Condition Management: Spill Prevention, Containment and Response A-1 and Table A-6 The Project's source water protection area is the Essex Region Region Conservation Authority (ERCA)'s Risk Management C within an EBA and IPZ-2 for the A.H. Weeks Water Treatment storage of liquid fuel in volumes greater than 15,000 L is identified
Projects that are subject to the Environmental Assessment Act that fall under a Class EA, or one of the Regulations, have the potential to impact sources of drinking water if they occur in designated vulnerable areas or in the vicinity of other at-risk drinking water systems (i.e. systems that are not municipal residential systems). Projects may include activities that, if located in a vulnerable area, could be a threat to sources of drinking water (i.e. have the potential to adversely affect the quality or quantity of drinking water sources) and the activity could therefore be subject to policies in a source protection plan. Where an activity poses a risk to drinking water, policies in the local source protection plan may impact how or where that activity is undertaken. Policies may prohibit certain activities, or they may require risk management measures for these activities. Municipal Official Plans, planning decisions, Class EA projects (where the project includes an activity that is a threat to drinking water) and prescribed instruments must conform with policies that address significant risks to drinking water and must have regard for policies that address moderate or low risks.	noted that as part of Site Plan Approval, the project would be determine a Notice to Proceed is required from the RMO offic Should it be determined that fuel of this volume will be necess Management Plan will be required as well as further consultat process. As the Project will not interact with surface water fea implemented if required, impacts to sources of drinking water Proper containment and spill prevention and contingency plan There are no other vulnerable areas (e.g., WHPAs, HVAs, SG (GSA).

eening Process for Electricity Projects

list), Table A-2 and Appendix D.1

IBC 2024) reviewed the following relevant plans and ct:

encies with policies described within any of the above, w discusses the municipal planning context, including are not applicable.

tions: Surface Water), Section 2.6.3 (Environmental onse) and Appendix A (Screening Checklist), Table

gion Source Protection Area. On April 3, 2024, the Essex t Official (RMO) confirmed that the Project Site is located ent Plant, where activities such as the handling and entified as a Significant Drinking Water Threat. ERCA be screened by the City of Windsor Planners to fice.

essary during or result from the Project, a Risk ltation with the RMO as part of the Site Plan Approval eatures, and a Risk Management Plan will be er and delineated vulnerable areas are not anticipated. lanning measures will also be put in place.

SGRAs, ICAs) located within the General Study Area

MECP Area of Interest	Consideration in the Environmental Scree
The proponent should identify the source protection area and should clearly document how the proximity of the Project to sources of drinking water (municipal or other) and any delineated vulnerable areas was considered and assessed. Specifically, the report should discuss whether or not the Project is located in a vulnerable area and provide applicable details about the area.	See above.
If located in a vulnerable area, proponents should document whether any Project activities are prescribed drinking water threats and thus pose a risk to drinking water (this should be consulted on with the appropriate Source Protection Authority). Where an activity poses a risk to drinking water, the proponent must document and discuss in the report how the Project adheres to or has regard to applicable policies in the local source protection plan. This section should then be used to inform and be reflected in other sections of the report, such as the identification of net positive/negative effects of alternatives, mitigation measures, evaluation of alternatives etc.	
While most source protection plans focused on including policies for significant drinking water threats in the WHPAs and IPZs it should be noted that even though source protection plan policies may not apply in HVAs, these are areas where aquifers are sensitive and at risk to impacts and within these areas, activities may impact the quality of sources of drinking water for systems other than municipal residential systems.	
In order to determine if this Project is occurring within a vulnerable area, proponents can use this mapping tool: http://www.applications.ene.gov.on.ca/swp/en/index.php. Note that various layers (including WHPAs, WHPA-Q1 and WHPA-Q2, IPZs, HVAs, SGRAs, EBAs, ICAs) can be turned on through the "Map Legend" bar on the left. The mapping tool will also provide a link to the appropriate source protection plan in order to identify what policies may be applicable in the vulnerable area.	
For further information on the maps or source protection plan policies which may relate to their Project, proponents must contact the appropriate source protection authority. Please consult with the local source protection authority to discuss potential impacts on drinking water. Please document the results of that consultation within the report and include all communication documents/correspondence.	Relevant report sections: Section 4.5.4 (Agency Engagerr Appendix C (Record of Engagement) ERCA's April 3, 2024 correspondence is included in the repor engage with the RMO as part of the Site Plan Approval proce
For more information on the Clean Water Act, source protection areas and plans, including specific information on the vulnerable areas and drinking water threats, please refer to Conservation Ontario's website where you will also find links to the local source protection plan/assessment report.	
A list of the prescribed drinking water threats can be found in section 1.1 of Ontario Regulation 287/07 made under the Clean Water Act. In addition to prescribed drinking water threats, some source protection plans may include policies to address additional "local" threat activities, as approved by the MECP.	

ement: Essex Region Conservation Authority) and

port sections noted above. Capital Power will continue to ocess.



MECP Area of Interest	Consideration in the Environmental Scree
Climate Cha	ange
 The MECP expects proponents of Projects under a Class EA or EA Act Regulation to: 1. Consider during the assessment of alternative solutions and alternative designs, the following: a) the Project's expected production of greenhouse gas emissions and impacts on carbon sinks (climate change mitigation); and b) resilience or vulnerability of the undertaking to changing climatic conditions (climate change adaptation). Include a discrete section in the report detailing how climate change was considered in the EA. 	Relevant report sections: Section 6.4 (Effects Assessment (Effects Assessment: Climate Change Risk), Appendix A Appendix D.8 (Greenhouse Gas Assessment), and Appen Climate change considerations have been assessed against t the EA Process" (2017). An assessment of greenhouse gas (Regulation (O. Reg.) 390/18, Greenhouse Gas Emissions - Q and Canada's Greenhouse Gas Quantification Requirements
How climate change is considered can be qualitative or quantitative in nature and should be scaled to the Project's level of environmental effect. In all instances, both a Project's impacts on climate change (mitigation) and impacts of climate change on a Project (adaptation) should be considered. Please ensure climate change is considered in the report	developed by the World Resources Institute (WRI), the World 2015), and ISO-14064-1 and 14064-2. A GHG Assessment was completed for the Project (Appendix that the Project is predicted to contribute ≤0.04% annually to sector. No significant net adverse effects are predicted as a read operation.
	A Climate Change Resilience Assessment (CCRA) was comp the CCRA conclude that the Project is considered to be may interact with the Project elements during its lifespar its respective components are expected to limit the negative en normal operation and maintenance procedures, health, and sa management, are expected to adequately limit the current and high consequences occur at the Project site.
The MECP has also prepared another guide to support provincial land use planning direction related to the completion of energy and emission plans. The "Community Emissions Reduction Planning: A Guide for Municipalities" document is designed to educate stakeholders on the municipal opportunities to reduce energy and greenhouse gas emissions, and to provide guidance on methods and techniques to incorporate consideration of energy and greenhouse gas emissions into municipal activities of all types. We encourage you to review the Guide for information.	The "Community Emissions Reduction Planning: A Guide for
Air Quality, Dust	and Noise
If there are sensitive receptors in the surrounding area of this Project, a quantitative air quality/odour impact assessment will be useful to evaluate alternatives, determine impacts and identify appropriate mitigation measures. The scope of the assessment can be determined based on the potential effects of the proposed alternatives, and typically includes source and receptor characterization and a quantification of local air quality impacts on the sensitive receptors and the environment in the study area. The assessment will compare to all applicable standards or guidelines for all contaminants of concern. Please contact this office for further consultation on the level of Air Quality Impact Assessment required for this Project if not already advised.	Relevant report sections: Section 2.0 (Project Description Appendix A (Screening Checklist), Table A-3, and Append Normal operation of the Project will generate air emissions. An Noise) application will be submitted for MECP approval for the being undertaken in consideration of air emissions. An Air Quality Assessment (Appendix D.2) has been complete provincial air emissions limits. The Emissions Summary and D
If a quantitative Air Quality Impact Assessment is not required for the Project, the MECP expects that the report contain a qualitative assessment which includes:	for the ECA (Air & Noise) application will be completed in acc The Project is not anticipated to emit odours during any phas
	This is not a road project. Existing access roads and parking v
 A discussion of local air quality including existing activities/sources that significantly impact local air quality and how the Project may impact existing conditions; 	This is not a road project. Existing access roads and parking t
• A discussion of local air quality including existing activities/sources that significantly impact local air quality and how the	
 A discussion of local air quality including existing activities/sources that significantly impact local air quality and how the Project may impact existing conditions; A discussion of the nearby sensitive receptors and the Project's potential air quality impacts on present and future 	

ent: Greenhouse Gas Emissions), Section 6.10 A (Screening Checklist), Table A-3 and Table A-9, endix D.10 (Climate Change Resilience Assessment)

st the MECP guideline "Considering Climate Change in s (GHG) emissions and impacts followed Ontario Quantification, Reporting and Verification (MECP 2022) ts (ECCC 2022), which aligns with the GHG Protocol rld Business Council for Sustainable Development (WRI

dix D.8). The findings of the GHG Assessment conclude o the IESO's GHG projections for the Ontario electricity a result of GHG emissions during Project construction

mpleted for the Project (Appendix D.1). The findings of be resilient to current and future climate events that ban (25+ years). Overall, the design of the Project and e effects of climate events on the Project. Additionally, I safety practices, as well as emergency risk and future effects of climate change before high to very

or Municipalities" was reviewed for information purposes.

on), Section 6.4 (Effects Assessment: Air Quality), endix D.2 (Air Quality Assessment)

An Environmental Compliance Approval (ECA) (Air & the Project. Project design and equipment selection is

eted demonstrating that the Project will comply with d Dispersion Modelling (ESDM) report being completed ccordance with MECP guidelines. ase.

g will be used.

MECP Area of Interest	Consideration in the Environmental Scree
Dust and noise control measures should be addressed and included in the construction plans to ensure that nearby esidential and other sensitive land uses within the study area are not adversely affected during construction activities.	Relevant report sections: Section 2.0 (Project Descriptio Appendix A (Screening Table), Table A-3, and Appendix
The MECP recommends that non-chloride dust-suppressants be applied. For a comprehensive list of fugitive dust prevention and control measures that could be applied, refer to <i>Cheminfo Services Inc. Best Practices for the Reduction of Air Emissions from Construction and Demolition Activities</i> report prepared for Environment Canada. March 2005. The report should consider the potential impacts of increased noise levels during the operation of the completed Project. The proponent should explore all potential measures to mitigate significant noise impacts during the assessment of alternatives.	During construction, physical earthworks/soil disturbance will emissions will be generated from the delivery of equipment a practices for dust suppression and control and preventing un mitigate impacts to local air quality and noise emissions durir
	Normal operation of the Project will generate noise. An ECA approval for the Project. Project design and equipment select emissions.
	A Noise Assessment (Appendix D.3) has been completed de noise limits at nearby sensitive receptors. The Acoustic Asse & Noise) application will be completed in accordance with ME
	Project construction will be completed in accordance with loc
Ecosystem Protection	and Restoration
Any impacts to ecosystem form and function must be avoided where possible. The report should describe any proposed nitigation measures and how Project planning will protect and enhance the local ecosystem.	Relevant report sections: Section 2.0 (Project Descriptio Environment), Appendix A (Screening Checklist), Table A
Natural heritage and hydrologic features should be identified and described in detail to assess potential impacts and to develop appropriate mitigation measures. The following sensitive environmental features may be located within or adjacent o the study area:	Report) An ecological site reconnaissance was undertaken for the Pr conditions and potential Project impacts and mitigation meas
Key Natural Heritage Features: Habitat of endangered species and threatened species, fish habitat, wetlands, areas of natural and scientific interest (ANSIs), significant valleylands, significant woodlands; SWH (including habitat of special concern species); sand barrens, savannahs, and tallgrass prairies; and alvars.	environment have been evaluated including natural heritage The Project will be setback a minimum of approximately 135 Potential impacts to natural heritage and hydrologic features
Key Hydrologic Features: Permanent streams, intermittent streams, inland lakes and their littoral zones, seepage areas and springs, and wetlands.	standard management practices (e.g., implementation of ero timing windows). The Project design also includes landscapir
Other natural heritage features and areas such as: vegetation communities, rare species of flora or fauna, Environmentally Sensitive Areas, Environmentally Sensitive Policy Areas, federal and provincial parks and conservation reserves, Greenland systems etc.	Section 2.0 describes how the Project has been designed en over manicured lawn. A Project Environmental Management standard construction management practices to be implement the ERR and supporting documents prepared to date, and ar
We recommend consulting with the Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry (MNRF), Fisheries and Oceans Canada DFO) and your local conservation authority to determine if special measures or additional studies will be necessary to preserve and protect these sensitive features. In addition, for projects located in Central Region you may consider the provisions of the Rouge Park Management Plan if applicable.	associated with future permitting and approval processes. Im selected construction contractor. Both the ERCA and the MNRF were consulted. No MNRF int requirements given the Project is sited outside ERCA regulat not contacted given there are no in-water or near-water work
Species at	Risk
The Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks has now assumed responsibility of the Ontario Species at Risk SAR) program. Information, standards, guidelines, reference materials and technical resources to assist you are found at https://www.ontario.ca/page/species-risk .	Relevant report sections: Section 5.6 (Existing Condition Checklist), Table A-4, and Appendix D.4 (Ecological Field The ecological site reconnaissance (Appendix D.4) focused of highly developed and urbanized Project Site. No SAR or suit Therefore, no effects on SAR or SAR habitat are anticipated.
The Client's Guide to Preliminary Screening for Species at Risk (Draft May 2019) has been attached to the covering email or your reference and use. Please review this document for the next steps.	Acknowledged, this documentation was reviewed as part of t Projects (ESP).
For any questions related to subsequent permit requirements, please contact: SAROntario@ontario.ca	

ion), Section 6.6 (Effects Assessment: Noise), ix D.3 (Noise Assessment)

vill be required for the Project, and noise and dust and construction traffic. Standard management innecessary equipment idling will be implemented to ring construction-related activities.

A (Air & Noise) application will be submitted for MECP ection is being undertaken in consideration of noise

demonstrating that the Project will comply with provincial sessment Report (AAR) being completed for the ECA (Air MECP guidelines.

ocal noise by-laws.

ion), Section 5.6 (Existing Conditions: Natural e A-4, and Appendix D.4 (Ecological Field Program

Project (Appendix D.4) and the report documents existing asures. Potential Project interactions with the natural ge and hydrologic features.

35 m from the Detroit River, the nearest waterbody. es will be avoided or mitigated through implementation of prosion and sediment control (ESC) measures, avoidance ping with native species to further mitigate visual effects.

entirely within the fenceline of the existing EWCC facility int Plan (PEMP) will be developed and will identify the nented. These measures will include those identified in any mitigation commitments or conditions of approval Implementation of the PEMP will be a requirement of the

interests were identified, and there are no ERCA permit lation limits. Fisheries and Oceans Canada (DFO) was orks proposed.

ons: Natural Environment), Appendix A (Screening eld Program Report)

d on Chimney Swift, Barn Swallow, and bats given the uitable SAR habitat was identified within the Project Site.

the Environmental Screening Process for Electricity

MECP Area of Interest	Consideration in the Environmental Scree
Surface Water	
The report must include enough information to demonstrate that there will be no negative impacts on the natural features or ecological functions of any watercourses within the study area. Measures should be included in the planning and design process to ensure that any impacts to watercourses from construction or operational activities (e.g., spills, erosion, pollution) are mitigated as part of the proposed undertaking.	Relevant report section: Sections 2.0 (Project Description Environment), Appendix A (Screening Checklist), Table A Report) An ecological site reconnaissance was undertaken for the Pro
 Additional stormwater runoff from new pavement can impact receiving watercourses and flood conditions. Quality and quantity control measures to treat stormwater runoff should be considered for all new impervious areas and, where possible, existing surfaces. The ministry's Stormwater Management Planning and Design Manual (2003) should be referenced in the report and utilized when designing stormwater control methods. A Stormwater Management Plan should be prepared as part of the ESP that includes: Strategies to address potential water quantity and erosion impacts related to stormwater draining into streams or other sensitive environmental features, and to ensure that adequate (enhanced) water quality is maintained. Watershed information, drainage conditions, and other relevant background information Future drainage conditions, stormwater management options, information on erosion and sediment control during construction, and other details of the proposed works Information on maintenance and monitoring commitments. 	An ecological site reconnaissance was undertaken for the Pro- conditions and potential Project impacts and mitigation measu environment have been evaluated, including hydrologic featur minimum of approximately 135 m from the Detroit River, the n features are anticipated. Therefore, the need for surface wate Future permitting and approvals, including the ECA (Industrial protection and mitigation measures to be implemented and wi planning, and response measures. The Project will be undertaken within the requirements of an a which will be designed to meet all applicable MECP requirement consider Infill Development Criteria as outlined in the MOE's S (March 2003) and will be in accordance with the City of Winds governed by the ECA (ISW).
Water (PTTW) under the <i>Ontario Water Resources Act</i> (OWRA) will be required for any water takings that exceed 50,000 L/day, except for certain water taking activities that have been prescribed by the Water Taking Environmental Activity and Sector Registry (EASR) Regulation – O. Reg. 63/16. These prescribed water-taking activities require registration in the EASR instead of a PTTW. Please review the Water Taking User Guide for EASR for more information. Additionally, an ECA under the OWRA is required for municipal stormwater management works.	
Groundwa	
The status of, and potential impacts to any well water supplies should be addressed. If the Project involves groundwater takings or changes to drainage patterns, the quantity and quality of groundwater may be affected due to drawdown effects or the redirection of existing contamination flows. In addition, Project activities may infringe on existing wells such that they must be reconstructed or sealed and abandoned. Appropriate information to define existing groundwater conditions should be included in the report.	Relevant report sections: Section 2.0 (Project Description Section 6.2 (Effects Assessment: Groundwater) and Appe No impacts to water well supplies are anticipated, an no const for the Project. Should construction or decommissioning of water completed and will include reference to O.Reg. 903, Wells, ur
If the potential construction or decommissioning of water wells is identified as an issue, the report should refer to Ontario Regulation 903, Wells, under the OWRA.	Localized excavations will be required during construction that foundations have potential to be installed at depths that will in dewatering is not anticipated to be required during constructio will be managed in accordance with the requirements outlined approval such as the ECA (ISW). Dewatering volumes will be 50,000 litres per day. If the need for water-taking beyond this Water (PTTW) process for construction dewatering will in und Spill prevention and contingency planning for the construction
Potential impacts to groundwater-dependent natural features should be addressed. Any changes to groundwater flow or quality from groundwater taking may interfere with the ecological processes of streams, wetlands or other surficial features. In addition, discharging contaminated or high volumes of groundwater to these features may have direct impacts on their function. Any potential effects should be identified, and appropriate mitigation measures should be recommended. The level of detail required will be dependent on the significance of the potential impacts.	
Any potential approval requirements for groundwater taking or discharge should be identified in the report. A Permit to Take Water (PTTW) under the OWRA will be required for any water takings that exceed 50,000 L/day, with the exception of certain water taking activities that have been prescribed by the Water Taking EASR Regulation – O. Reg. 63/16. These prescribed water-taking activities require registration in the EASR instead of a PTTW. Please review the Water Taking User Guide for EASR for more information.	operations, spill containment systems will be built into the Pro and Contingency Plan will also be developed prior to operatio in section 91.1 of the EPA and O. Reg. 224/07. No impacts to groundwater-dependent natural features are ar
Consultation with the railroad authorities is necessary wherever there is a plan to use construction dewatering in the vicinity of railroad lines or where the zone of influence of the construction dewatering potentially intercepts railroad lines.	Relevant report sections: Section 2.0 (Project Description Section 6.2 (Effects Assessment: Groundwater), and App Dewatering excavation calculations indicate the radius of influ expected to extend to distances outside of the Project Site. The within the vicinity of the CN railway line.

on), Section 5.6 (Existing Conditions: Natural A-1, and Appendix D.4 (Ecological Field Program

Project (Appendix D.4) and the report documents existing asures. Potential Project interactions with the natural tures. In summary, the Project will be setback a e nearest waterbody, and no impacts on surface water ater takings and/or discharge is also not anticipated.

rial Sewage Works (ISW)) and the PEMP will outline will consider ESC, spill prevention, contingency

n approved Stormwater Management (SWM) Plan, ements and the ECA (ISW). The SWM design will 's Stormwater Management Planning and Design Manual ndsor standards. The SWM system for the Project will be

on), Section 5.2 (Existing Conditions: Groundwater), pendix A (Screening Checklist), Table A-1

nstruction or decommissioning of water wells is required water wells be required, the appropriate reporting will be under the OWRA.

hat have the potential to require dewatering, and piling interact with groundwater. However, substantial tion, and any groundwater inflow into excavation works hed in the PEMP, which may include conditions of Project be managed to stay below permitting thresholds of is threshold is encountered, the EASR or Permit to Take indertaken in accordance with O. Reg. 63/16.

on phase will be incorporated in the PEMP. For Project design where applicable, and a Spill Prevention tion and will contain all required information as outlined

anticipated.

on), Section 5.2 (Existing Conditions: Groundwater), ppendix A (Screening Checklist), Table A-1

fluence for any dewatering would be minimal and not Therefore, no interaction with groundwater is anticipated

MECP Area of Interest	Consideration in the Environmental Scree
Excess Materials M	anagement
In December 2019, MECP released a new regulation under the Environmental Protection Act, titled "On-Site and Excess Soil Management" (O. Reg. 406/19) to support improved management of excess construction soil. This regulation is a key step to support proper management of excess soils, ensuring valuable resources don't go to waste and to provide clear rules on managing and reusing excess soil. New risk-based standards referenced by this regulation help to facilitate local beneficial reuse which in turn will reduce greenhouse gas emissions from soil transportation, while ensuring strong protection of human health and the environment. The new regulation is being phased in over time, with the first phase in effect on January 1, 2021. For more information, please visit https://www.ontario.ca/page/handling-excess-soil.	Relevant report sections: Section 2.0 (Project Description Excavated soil will remain on-site where possible. Any exces Reg. 406/19 and current MECP guidelines. A PEMP will be developed to ensure all waste generated dur ministry requirements. Licensed contractors will be retained for licenced private landfill(s).
All waste generated during construction must be disposed of in accordance with ministry requirements	
Contaminated	Sites
Any current or historical waste disposal sites should be identified in the report. The status of these sites should be determined to confirm whether approval pursuant to Section 46 of the EPA may be required for land uses on former disposal sites. We recommend referring to the MECP's D-4 guideline for land use considerations near landfills and dumps. Resources available may include regional/local municipal official plans and data; provincial data on large landfill sites and small landfill sites; ECA information for waste disposal sites on Access Environment. Other known contaminated sites (local, provincial, federal) in the study area should also be identified in the report (Note – information on federal contaminated sites is found on the Government of Canada's website). The location of any underground storage tanks should be investigated in the report. Measures should be identified to ensure the integrity of these tanks and to ensure an appropriate response in the event of a spill. The ministry's Spills Action Centre must be contacted in such an event. Since the removal or movement of soils may be required, appropriate tests to determine how and where they are to be disposed of, consistent with Part XV.1 of the Environmental Protection Act (EPA) and Ontario Regulation 153/04, Records of Site Condition, which details the new requirements related to site assessment and clean up. Consideration of potential environmental contamination should be given following regulatory guidance where the Project involves decommissioning of facilities. Please contact the appropriate MECP District Office for further consultation if contaminated sites are present.	Relevant report sections: Sections 2.0 (Project Description (Existing Conditions: Contaminated Sites) and Appendix A Phase I and II Environmental Site Assessment (ESA) was if for the Capital Power-leased portion of the Ford Powerhouse hydrocarbon fraction F4 was identified at one borehole location time of the ESA, recommendations included further sampling the EWCC. These borehole locations are within the existing f the existing EWCC facility infrastructure. There are no known contaminated areas on the Capital Power remediation. According to the Federal Contaminated sites inv closed contaminated sites within the GSA (Government of Ca The existing EWCC SWM system utilizes an in-ground (unde system is subject to the terms and conditions of the facility's I requirements. The Project SWM will be integrated into the ex Spill prevention and contingency planning for the construction operations, spill containment systems will be built into the Pro and Contingency Plan will also be developed prior to operation in section 91.1 of the EPA and O. Reg. 224/07. Any interactions with excess and/or potentially contaminated protocols and disposal requirements as per O. Reg. 406/19: 0 guideline "Management of Excess Soil – a Guide for Best Ma Site Condition.
Servicing, Utilities a	nd Facilities
The report should identify any above or underground utilities in the study area such as transmission lines, telephone/internet, oil/gas etc. The owners should be consulted to discuss impacts to this infrastructure, including potential spills. The report should identify any servicing infrastructure in the study area such as wastewater, water, stormwater that may	Relevant report section: Section 2.0 (Project Description) The Project will make use of some existing infrastructure, inc One transmission (interconnection) line to avoid the need for
potentially be impacted by the Project. Any facility that releases emissions to the atmosphere, discharges contaminants to ground or surface water, provides potable water supplies, or stores, transports or disposes of waste must have an ECA before it can operate lawfully. Please consult with MECP's Environmental Permissions Branch to determine whether a new or amended ECA will be required for	Project will tie-in to existing infrastructure for natural gas support Temporary servicing during construction may include power a not available from the existing EWCC. No other interaction w The Project is subject to Site Plan Approval by the City of Win
any proposed infrastructure.	of all City departments, including emergency services. ECAs (ISW and Air & Noise) are in place for the existing EW0 with the MECP is ongoing.

on) and Section 2.6 (Environment Management) ess soil required to be moved off-site will comply with O.

uring construction is disposed of in accordance with I for on-site treatment of wastes or hauling of waste to

tion), Section 5.3.4 ix A (Screening Checklist), Table A-2

is undertaken prior to construction of the existing EWCC se property (Dillon 2007a). Elevated levels of petroleum ation and elevated metals at a second borehole. At the ng and removal of impacted soil during construction of g facility footprint, in areas that were excavated to install

wer-leased or owned land and no areas undergoing nventory, there are no identified suspected, active, or Canada 2023a).

derground) stormwater storage tank. This underground s ECA (ISW), including ongoing monitoring existing SWM.

ion phase will be incorporated in the PEMP. For Project design where applicable, and a Spill Prevention tion and will contain all required information as outlined

ed soils will follow all characterization and/or testing): Onsite and Excess Soil Management, MECP's //anagement Practices" and O. Reg. 153/04, Records of

n) and Appendix A (Screening Checklist), Table A-1

ncluding tying into the existing EWCC high-voltage Hydro or a new connection to the provincial electricity grid. The pply. Applicable approval processes are underway.

r and internet connection from local service providers if with utilities or services is anticipated.

Vindsor, which will include addressing the requirements

NCC and will be required for the Project. Consultation

MECP Area of Interest	Consideration in the Environmental Scree
We recommend referring to the ministry's environmental land use planning guides to ensure that any potential land use conflicts are considered when planning for any infrastructure or facilities related to wastewater, pipelines, landfills or	Relevant report section: Appendix A (Screening Checklist (Land Use Planning Memo)
industrial uses.	No land use conflicts have been identified related to wastewat
Mitigation and I	<i>I</i> onitoring
Contractors must be made aware of all environmental considerations so that all environmental standards and commitments for both construction and operation are met.	Relevant report section: Section 2.5 (Project Activities), S Section 7.0 (Summary and Conclusion)
Mitigation measures should be clearly referenced in the report and regularly monitored during the construction stage of the Project. In addition, we encourage proponents to conduct post-construction monitoring to ensure all mitigation measures have been effective and are functioning properly.	A PEMP will be developed and will identify the standard const These measures will include those identified in the ERR and s mitigation commitments or conditions of approval associated v
Design and construction reports and plans should be based on a best management approach that centers on the prevention of impacts, protection of the existing environment, and opportunities for rehabilitation and enhancement of any impacted areas.	Implementation of the PEMP will be a requirement of the select Table 7.1 provides a summary of the Project commitments rec
The proponent's construction and post-construction effects monitoring strategies and programs must be documented in the report.	
Consulta	tion
The report must demonstrate how the consultation provisions of the ESP have been fulfilled, including documentation of all stakeholder consultation efforts undertaken during the planning process. This includes a discussion in the report that identifies concerns that were raised and describes how they have been addressed by the proponent throughout the planning process. The report should also include copies of comments submitted on the Project by interested stakeholders, and the proponent's responses to these comments (as directed by the Guide to Environmental Assessment Requirements for Electricity Projects to include full documentation).	Relevant report section: Section 4.0 (Consultation and En (Record of Engagement) The engagement program results are summarized in Section 4 Appendix C, including the Project mailing/distribution list.
Please include the full stakeholder distribution/consultation list in the documentation.	
Environmental Scre	ening Process
The purpose of the Environmental Screening report is to document the process followed and the conclusions reached. It should provide clear and complete documentation of the planning process in order to allow for transparency in decision-making and to allow for its timely review by government agencies, and interested persons, including Indigenous communities.	Relevant report section: Section 3.0 (Assessment Method Section 3.0 describes the assessment methods and scope, in followed to complete the Environmental Review process.
The Environmental Screening Process requires the consideration of the effects of each alternative on all aspects of the environment (including planning, natural, social, cultural, economic, technical). The report should include a level of detail (e.g., hydrogeological investigations, terrestrial and aquatic assessments, cultural heritage assessments) such that all potential impacts can be identified, and appropriate mitigation measures can be developed. Any supporting studies conducted during the Environmental Screening Process should be referenced and included as part of the report.	 Relevant report sections: Section 1.2 (Purpose of the Proj Appendix D (Technical Supporting Documents) Section 1.2 describes the consideration of Project alternatives Operation (IESO) procurement process. Appendix A identifies potential effects on all aspects of the env warranted. Technical supporting studies are summarized in the
 There are two possible stages of review required under the Environmental Screening Process, depending on the environmental effects of a project: a Screening stage and an Environmental Review stage. All projects that are subject to the process are required to go through the Screening stage, which requires proponents to apply a series of screening criteria to identify the potential environmental effects of the project. A more detailed study (an Environmental Review) is required if potential concerns are raised during the Screening stage that could not be readily addressed. 	studies in Section 6.

ist), Table A-2 and Appendix D.1

ater, pipelines, landfills, or industrial uses.

Section 2.6 (Environmental Management) and

nstruction management practices to be implemented. d supporting documents prepared to date, and any d with future permitting and approval processes. elected construction contractor.

regarding mitigation and impact management.

Engagement) and Appendix C

on 4.0 and the full Record of Engagement is available in

ods and Scope)

including an overview of the planning process that was

roject), Appendix A (Screening Checklist) and

res in context of the Independent Electricity System

environment and identifies where further studies were the ERR and included as Appendix D.

work)

iew stage of the ESP for this Project. The ERR ther documents the findings of the detailed technical



MECP Area of Interest	Consideration in the Environmental Scree
Please include in the report a list of all subsequent permits or approvals that may be required for the implementation of the preferred alternative, including but not limited to, MECP's PTTW, EASR Registrations and ECAs, conservation authority permits, SAR permits, MTO permits and approvals under the <i>Impact Assessment Act</i> , 2019.	Relevant report section: Section 1.4 (Regulatory Framew Section 1.4 summarizes the regulatory framework for the Pro Table 7-1 summarizes requirements for mitigation and monitor Project, including listing subsequent permits and approvals the
Proponents are encouraged to circulate a draft of the Environmental Review Report, or relevant sections of the report, to the appropriate agencies and key stakeholders for comment prior to the formal review periods.	Relevant report section: Section 4 (Engagement) A Draft ERR was provided to Indigenous communities and th of the final ERR issued for the formal review period.
Ministry guidelines and other information related to the issues above are available at http://www.ontario.ca/environment-and- energy/environment-and-energy. We encourage you to review all the available guides and to reference any relevant information in the report.	Relevant report section: All The MECP's guidelines and other related information have be applicable.
Once the report is finalized, the proponent must issue a Notice of Completion providing a minimum 30-day period during which documentation may be reviewed and comment and input can be submitted to the proponent. The Notice of Completion must be sent to the appropriate MECP Regional Office email address.	Relevant report sections: Section 4.9 (Notice of Completed Section 4.9 summarizes the Notice of Completion process an Engagement.
The public can submit an elevation request, which requests a higher level of assessment on a project if they have outstanding environmental concerns. In addition, at any point in the Environmental Screening Process, if it is determined that a project is likely to have significant negative environmental effects, and that the scope and scale of these effects are such that an individual EA is warranted, the Minister of the Environment may of his or her own initiative require that a project be made subject to Part II of the <i>Environmental Assessment Act</i> (an individual EA). If the Minister requires an individual EA, the proponent will be informed in writing, stating reasons for the decision.	Relevant report section: Section 1.4 (Regulatory Framew Appendix C (Record of Engagement) Section 1.4 outlines the process for the public to make an ele Completion process and Appendix C provides the associated Completion summarizes the elevation request process as pe Environmental Assessment Requirements for Electricity Projection the MECP contact information.
 The proponent cannot proceed with the Project until at least 30 days after the end of the comment period provided for in the Notice of Completion. Further, the proponent may not proceed after this time if: an elevation request has been submitted by any interested person including Indigenous communities to the ministry regarding outstanding environmental concerns, or the Minister has given notice to the proponent requiring that an environmental assessment be prepared. 	Relevant report section: Appendix C (Record of Engagen Noted. The Notice of Completion will be included in Appendix will be followed in accordance with the 2024 version of the M Requirements for Electricity Projects.
Please ensure that the Notice of Completion advises that outstanding concerns are to be directed to the proponent for a response, and that in the event there are outstanding environmental concerns, elevation requests should be addressed in writing to: Director, Environmental Assessment Branch Ministry of Environment, Conservation and Parks 135 St. Clair Ave. W, 1st Floor Toronto ON, M4V 1P5 <u>EABDirector@ontario.ca</u> For more information on the Environmental Screening Process and environmental assessment requirements for Electricity Projects, please visit the following link: Guide to Environmental Assessment Requirements for Electricity Projects ontario.ca.	

work) and Section 7.3 (Summary of Commitments) roject under the EA Act.

itoring for the construction and operational phases of the that will be required

the MECP for review and comment prior to preparation

been reviewed and referenced throughout the ERR as

etion) and Appendix C (Record of Engagement) and Appendix C provides the associated Record of

work), Section 4.9 (Notice of Completion) and

elevation request. Section 4.9 summarizes the Notice of ed Record of Engagement. Additionally, the Notice of per the 2024 version of the MECP's Guide to ojects, including providing the Minister and Director of

ement)

dix C of the Final ERR. The elevation request process MECP's Guide to Environmental Assessment

